

Case 1:04-cr-00018-JPJ-PMS Document 100 Filed 10/31/07 Page 1 of 2 Pageid#: 230

The defendant has now filed a pro se “Motion for Concurrent Sentences,” requesting that the court order the state and federal sentences to run concurrently.

This court does not have the authority to direct the state authorities to run the defendant’s state sentence concurrently with her current federal imprisonment. Moreover, this court does not have the power at this point to reduce the defendant’s federal sentence so as to give her credit for the future undischarged state sentence.²

For these reasons, it is **ORDERED** that the Motion for Concurrent Sentencing (Dkt. No. 98) is DENIED.

The clerk will send a copy of this Opinion and Order to the defendant at her place of confinement.

ENTER: October 31, 2007

/s/ JAMES P. JONES
Chief United States District Judge

² Even if I did have such power, however, concurrent sentences in such situations are not favored. *See* U.S. Sentencing Guidelines Manual § 5G1.3 cmt. n.3(C) (2007) (recommending that where a defendant was on state or federal probation at the time of the federal offense and has had such probation revoked, the federal sentence should run consecutively and not concurrently).